

REMARKS:

The Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for his efforts and guidance in the examination of this application, and his indication of allowable subject matter. The Applicants request the entry of the foregoing and reconsideration of the subject application, as amended, and in light of the remarks that follow.

The Final Office Action dated June 17, 2005 stated that claims 15, 28, and 33 were merely objected to, and would be allowable if amended by incorporating the limitations of the parent independent claim and any intervening claims. The Applicants appreciate the Examiner's clear suggestions. No new matter is added by this amendment.

Claims 1-11, 17-30, and 35 are presented. All other claims are cancelled.

Claim 1 has been amended by incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 12 and further dependent claim 15. Thus, amended claim 1 is equivalent to taking claim 15 and amending by incorporating the limitations of the parent independent claim and any intervening claims, as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 2-11 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1, and are allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 12-16 are cancelled.

Claims 17-27 depend directly or indirectly from claim 1, and are allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Note that claim 25 was previously rejected for indefiniteness regarding the term "non-conventional". The term "non-conventional" has been deleted, and has been replaced with the more definite term "myopia, hyperopia, presbyopia, and regular astigmatism". This amendment is supported by specification page 6.

Claim 28 has been amended by incorporating the limitations of the parent independent claim and any intervening claims, as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 29 has been amended by incorporating the limitations of dependent claim 33. Thus, amended claim 29 is equivalent to taking claim 33 and amending by incorporating the limitations of the parent independent claim, as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 30 depends from claim 29, and is allowable for the same reasons as claim 29.

Claims 31-34 are cancelled.

Claim 35 depends indirectly from claim 1, and is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 36 is cancelled.

The cancelled claims have been cancelled to timely advance the prosecution of the application and to avoid delay in bringing a commercially viable invention to patent issue based on allowable subject matter identified by the Examiner. However, the cancellation of the above claims is not intended to preclude appropriate arguments for allowance and examination without prejudice in related continuing applications.


Additionally, the Final Office Action dated June 17, 2005 stated that a prior Information Disclosure Statement was incomplete because it was missing the page citing the references. A complete Information Disclosure Statement is submitted with this amendment.

CONCLUSION:

Applicants respectfully submit that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner determine that any further action is necessary to place the claims in condition for allowance, the Examiner is kindly requested (and encouraged) to telephone the Applicants' undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,
HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP

September 8, 2005

By: 
David E. Baker
Registration No. 42,285
Direct (804) 788-8762

Attorney Docket No. 63049.000088
Attorney Customer No. 27682

Please Direct all Correspondence to:
J. Michael Martinez de Andino, Esq.
Hunton & Williams LLP
Riverfront Plaza, East Tower
951 East Byrd Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219-4074

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE
AUTHORIZATION**

Please grant any extension
necessary for entry;
Charge any fees due to our
Deposit Account No. 08-3436

COPY

PTO/SB/08A (10/01) (modified)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449A/PTO

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT**

(use as many sheets as necessary)

Application Number	10/644,112
Filing Date	August 20, 2003
First Named Inventor	Ronald D. Blum
Art Unit	2873
Examiner Name	Unassigned
Attorney Docket Number	63049.000088

Sheet	1	of	1
--------------	----------	-----------	----------

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*Examiner Initials	Cite No.	DOCUMENT NUMBER Number - Kind Code (if known)	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
	1	US- 6,437,925	08-20-2002	Nishioka	
	2	US- 6,464,363	10-15-2002	Nishioka et al.	
	3	US- 6,626,532	09-30-2003	Nishioka et al.	
	4	US- 6,682,195	01-27-2004	Dreher	
	5	US-			
	6	US-			
	7	US-			
	8	US-			
	9	US-			
	10	US-			
	11	US-			
	12	US-			
	13	US-			
	14	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*Examiner Initial	Cite No.	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENT		Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	TRANSLATION	
		Country Code:	Number - Kind Code (if known)				YES	NO
	1	WO	03/050472 A1	06-19-2003	Horwitz		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	2	WO	03/068059 A2	08-21-2003	Lai et al.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	3	WO	2004/008189 A1	01-22-2004	Lai et al.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	4	WO	2004/015481 A1	02-19-2004	Dreher et al.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	5	WO	2004/034095 A2	04-22-2004	Lai		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	6						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	7						<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.